# LENALIDOMIDE 5,10, 15 v 25 mg

Rx Only

## Made in Argentina

### FORMUL A

Each hard capsule of Renged 5 mg contain:

Lenalidomide 5.0 mg. Excipients: Lactose Anhydrous 147 mg. Microcrystalline Cellulose 40 mg Croscarmellose Sodium 6 mg, Magnesium Stearate 2 mg, Green Colorant FD and C N° 3 (CI 42053) 0,3362 g%, Quinoline yellow colorant (D and C N° 10) (CI 47005) 0,497 g%, Titanium Dioxide 3,08 g%, Yellow Sunset Colorant (CI 15985) 0.0067 g%

## Each hard capsule of Renged 10 mg contains

Lenalidomide 10.0 mg. Excipients: Lactose Anhydrous 294 mg. Microcrystalline Cellulose 80 mg. Troscarmellose Sodium 12 mg, Magnesium Stearate 4 mg, Red Colorant F.D.Y C. N° 40 0,0672 g%,

Return to ≥ 50 x 10°,

Return to ≥ 50 x 10°,

Return to ≥ 50 x 10°,

Return to ≥ 50 x 10°, Red D&C N° 28 (CI 45410) 0,1774 g%, Sunset Yellow Colorant (CI 15985) 0,8489 g%, Titanium of the current 28-day cycle. Dioxide 3,08 g%, Bright Blue Colorant (CI 42090) 0,0873 g%

## Each hard capsule of Renged 15 mg contains

Lenalidomide 15.0 mg. Excipients: Microcrystalline Cellulose 80 mg. Croscarmellose Sodium 12 mg Magnesium Stearate 4 mg, Lactose Anhydrous 289 mg, Bright Blue Colorant (CI 42090) 0,2776 g%, Yellow Tartrazine Colorant (Cl 19140) 0.1735 g%, Titanium Dioxide 3.96 g%

## Each hard capsule of Renged 25 mg contains

Lenalidomide 25.0 mg, Excipients: Lactose Anhydrous 200 mg, Microcrystalline Cellulose 159 mg Croscarmellose Sodium 12 mg, Magnesium Stearate 4 mg, Red Colorant D&C N° 28 (Cl 45410) 0,0071 g%, Yellow Sunset Colorant (CI 15985) 0,0656 g%, Red allura colorant FD&C No 40 (CI 16035) 0.0346 g%, Bright Blue Colorant (CI 42090) 0.1335 g%, Titanium Dioxide 3.52 g%

### Therapeutic Action: Immunomodulatory agent with antiangiogenic and antineoplastic properties. ATC Code: L04AX04

## CLINICAL PARTICULARS

### Therapeutic indications Multiple myeloma

Lenalidomide as monotherapy is indicated for the maintenance treatment of adult patients with in patients who are not eligible for transplant newly diagnosed multiple myeloma who have undergone autologous stem cell transplantation. Lenalidomide as combination therapy (see posology and method of administration) is indicated < 75 x 10°/L. for the treatment of adult patients with previously untreated multiple myeloma who are not

eligible for transplant. Lenalidomide in combination with dexamethasone is indicated for the treatment of multiple myeloma in adult patients who have received at least one prior therapy.

### Posology and method of administration

tment should be supervised by a physician experienced in the use of anti-cancer

## For all indications described below

\* Dose is modified based upon clinical and laboratory findings (see Special warnings and \* Dose adjustments, during treatment and restart of treatment, are recommended to manage

grade 3 or 4 thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, or other grade 3 or 4 toxicity judged to be related to lenalidomide

\* In case of neutropenia, the use of growth factors in patient management should be considered \* If less than 12 hours has elapsed since missing a dose, the patient can take the dose. If more than 12 hours has elapsed since missing a dose at the normal time, the patient should not take the dose. • Thrombocytonenia but take the next dose at the normal time on the following day.

Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (NDMM) ide maintenance in patients who have undergone autologous stem cell transplanta-

tion (ASCT) Lenalidomide maintenance should be initiated after adequate haematologic recovery following

## Absolute Neutrophil Count (ANC) is < 1.0 x 10°/L, and/or platelet counts are < 75 x 10°/L. Recommended dose

The recommended starting dose is lenalidomide 10 mg orally once daily continuously (on days to 28 of repeated 28-day cycles) given until disease progression or intolerance. After 3 cycles of the only observed toxicity lenalidomide maintenance, the dose can be increased to 15 mg orally once daily if tolerated.

## Dose reduction stens

	Starting aose (10 mg)	It aose increasea (15 mg) "	
Dose level -1	5 mg	10 mg	
Dose level -2	5 mg (days 1-21 every 28 days)	5 mg	
Dose level -3	Not applicable	5 mg (days 1-21 every 28 days)	
Do not dose below 5 mg (days 1-21 every 28 days)			
<sup>o</sup> After 3 cycles of lenalidomide maintenance, the dose can be increased to 15 mg orally once daily if tolerated.			

when platelets	Recommended course
Fall to < 30 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	Interrupt lenalidomide treatment
Return to ≥ 30 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	Resume lenalidomide at dose level -1 once
	daily
For each subsequent drop below 30 x 10°/L	Interrupt lenalidomide treatment
Return to ≥ 30 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	Resume lenalidomide at next lower dose lev
	once daily
Neutropenia	
When neutrophils	Recommended course <sup>a</sup>

raii t0 < 0.5 x 10 /L	interrupt ienandornide treatment
Return to ≥ 0.5 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	Resume lenalidomide at dose level -1 once
	daily
For each subsequent drop below < 0.5 x 10°/L	Interrupt lenalidomide treatment
Return to ≥ 0.5 x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L	Resume lenalidomide at next lower dose leve

Recommended course<sup>a</sup> once daily

Lenalidomide in combination with dexamethasone until disease progression in patients who are not

Lenalidomide treatment must not be started if the ANC is < 1.0 x 10°/L, and/or platelet counts are < 50

When neutrophi

The recommended starting dose of lenalidomide is 25 mg orally once daily on days 1 to 21 of repeated 28-day cycles. The recommended dose of dexamethasone is 40 mg orally once daily on days 1, 8, 15 and 22 Return to ≥ 0.5 x 10°/L of repeated 28-day cycles. Patients may continue lenalidomide and dexamethasone therapy until disease

	Lenalidomidea	Dexamethasonea
Starting dose	25 mg	40 mg
Dose level -1	20 mg	20 mg
Dose level -2	15 mg	12 mg
Dose level -3	10 mg	8 mg
Dose level- 4	5 mg	4 mg
Dose level -5	2.5 mg	Not applicable

### Thrombocytopenia Fall to < 25 x 109/I Stop lenalidomide dosing for remainder of cycle

Decrease by one dose level when dosing resumed at next cycle

Resume lenalidomide at next lower dose level

When neutrophils	Recommended course
First fall to < 0.5 x 10°/L	Interrupt lenalidomide treatment
Return to $\ge 1 \times 10^9$ /L when neutropenia is the	Resume lenalidomide at starting dose once daily
only observed toxicity	
Return to ≥ 0.5 x 10°/L when dose-dependent	Resume lenalidomide at dose level -1 once
haematological toxicities other than daily	
neutropenia are observed	
For each subsequent drop below < 0.5 x 109/I	Interrunt lenalidomide treatment

once daily. hematologic toxicity the dose of lenalidomide may be re-introduced to the next higher dose leve to the starting dose) upon improvement in hone marrow function (no hematologic toxicity for at least 2

# consecutive cycles: ANC ≥1.5 x 10°/L with a platelet count ≥ 100 x 10°/L at the beginning of a new Lenalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone followed by lenalidomide maintenance

nust not be started if the ANC is < 1.5 x 10°/L, and/or platelet counts a

prednisone 2 mg/kg orally on days 1 to 4 of repeated 28-day cycles. Patients who complete 9 cycles or who are unable to complete the combination therapy due to intolerance are treated with lenalidomide monotherapy as follows: 10 mg orally once daily on days 1 to 21 of repeated 28-day cycles given until Multiple myeloma; patients with at least one prior therapy disease progression.

nd	<ul> <li>Dose reduction steps</li> </ul>			
		Lenalidomide	Melphalan	Prednisone
	Starting dose	10 mg <sup>a</sup>	0.18 mg/kg	2 mg/kg
	Dose level -1	7.5 mg	0.14 mg/kg	1 mg/kg
	Dose level -2	5 mg	0.10 mg/kg	0.5 mg/kg
d.	Dose level -3	2.5 mg	Not applicable	0.25 mg/kg
	Aug		1 1 11 5 1 15 655	

Recommended course

# When platelets

Resume lenalidomide and melphalan at dose level -1 Return to  $> 25 \times 10^{9}/L$ For each subsequent drop below 30 x 109/ Interrupt lenalidomide treatmen Return to ≥ 30 x 109/L ume lenalidomide at next lower dose level (dose level -2 or -3) once daily.

### ASCT in patients without evidence of progression. Lenalidomide must not be started if the • Neutropenia When neutrophils Recommended course Return to $> 0.5 \times 10^9/I$ when neutropenia is Resume lenalidomide at starting dose once daily

neutropenia are observed or each subsequent drop below < 0.5 x 10°/L Interrupt lenalidomide treatr Return to ≥ 0.5 x 10°/L

Resume lenalidomide at next lower dose level once daily

"If the subject has not been receiving G-CSF therapy, initiate G-CSF therapy, On day 1 of next cycle, continue G-CSF ax needed and maintain dose of

Return to ≥ 0.5 x 10<sup>9</sup>/L when dose-dependent Resume lenalidomide at dose level -1 onc

Multiple myeloma with at least one prior therapy if the ANC  $< 1.0 \times 10^9$ /L, and/or platelet counts  $< 75 \times 10^9$ /L or, dependent on bone marrow infiltration by plasma cells, platelet counts < 30 x 109/L.

haematological toxicities other than

The recommended starting dose of lenalidomide is 25 mg orally once daily on days 1 to 21 of repeated 28-day cycles. The recommended dose of dexamethasone is 40 mg orally once daily on days 1 to 4, 9 to 12, and 17 to 20 of each 28-day cycle for the first 4 cycles of therapy and then 40 mg once daily on days 1 to 4

Prescribing physicians should carefully evaluate which dose of dexamethasone to use, taking into account Lenalidomide should not be used in children and adolescents from birth to less than 18 years because the condition and disease status of the patient.

Starting dose	25 mg
Dose level -1	15 mg
Dose level -2	10 mg
Dose level -3	5 mg

For each subsequent drop below < 0.5 x 109/L

When platelets	Recommended course
First fall to < 30 x 10°/L	Interrupt lenalidomide treatment
Return to ≥ 30 x 10°/L	Resume lenalidomide at dose level -1
For each subsequent drop below 30 x 10°/L	Interrupt lenalidomide treatment
Return to ≥ 30 x 10°/L	Resume lenalidomide at next lower dose level
	(dose level -2 or -3) once daily. Do not dose
	below 5 mg once daily.
<u>Neutropenia</u>	
When neutrophils	Recommended course

## First fall to < 0.5 x 10<sup>9</sup>/L Interrupt lenalidomide treatmer Return to ≥ 0.5 x 10<sup>9</sup>/Lwhen neutropenia is the only Resume lenalidomide at starting dose once Return to > 0.5 x 109/L when dose-dependent Resume lenalidomide at dose level -1 once haematological toxicities other than neutropenia daily are observed

Resume lenalidomide at next lower dose level

(dose level -1, -2 or -3) once daily. Do not dose

interruption or modification, at the physician's discretion. In patients with Grade 3 or 4 TFR, withhold unless she meets at least one of the following criteria: reatment with lenalidomide until TFR resolves to ≤ Grade 1 and patients may be treated for • Age≥50 years and naturally amenorrhoeic for≥1 year (amenor management of symptoms per the guidance for treatment of Grade 1 and 2 TFR (see Special warnings and precautions for use).

or other grade 3 or 4 toxicities judged to be related to lenalidomide, treatment should be stopped and

• Previous bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, or hysterectomy only restarted at next lower dose level when toxicity has resolved to ≤ grade 2 depending on the . XY genotype, Turner syndrome, uterine agenesis. physician's discretion.

# Lenalidomide interruption or discontinuation should be considered for grade 2 or 3 skin rash.

nalidomide must be discontinued for angioedema, grade 4 rash, exfoliative or bullous rash, or if Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) toxic enidermal necrolysis (TEN) or Drug Reaction with Fosinophilia and Systemic Symptoms (DRESS) is suspectedand should not be resumed following discontinuation om these reactions.

## Special populations

### Elderly Currently available pharmacokinetic data are described in Pharmacokinetic properti Lenalidomide has been used in clinical trials in multiple myeloma patients up to 91 years of age (see

Pharmacodynamic properties). Because elderly patients are more likely to have decreased renal function, care should be taken in dose

election and it would be prudent to monitor renal function. Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: patients who are not eligible for transplant

## Patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma aged 75 years and older should be carefully assessed efore treatment is considered (see Special warnings and precautions for use).

For natients older than 75 years of age treated with lenalidomide in combination with devamethasone the starting dose of dexamethasone is 20 mg once daily on days 1, 8, 15 and 22 of each 28-day treatment No dose adjustment is proposed for patients older than 75 years who are treated with lenalidomide

combination with melphalan and prednisone. In patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma aged 75 years and older who received enalidomide there was a higher incidence of serious adverse reactions and adverse reactions that led to treatment

enalidomide combined therapy was less tolerated in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patients The recommended starting dose is lenalidomide 10 mg orally once daily on days 1 to 21 of repeated older than 75 years of age compared to the younger population. These patients discontinued at a higher 28-day cycles for up to 9 cycles, melphalan 0.18 mg/kg orally on days 1 to 4 of repeated 28-day cycles, rate due to intolerance (Grade 3 or 4 adverse events and serious adverse events), when compared to patients < 75 years.

he percentage of multiple myeloma patients aged 65 or over was not significantly different between he lenalidomide/dexamethasone and placebo/dexamethasone groups. No overall difference in safety or efficacy was observed between these patients and younger patients, but greater pre-disposition of older individuals cannot be ruled out.

## Patients with renal impairment

Lenalidomide is primarily excreted by the kidney; patients with greater degrees of renal impairment can have impaired treatment tolerance (see Special warnings and precautions for use). Care should be taken in dose selection and monitoring of renal function is advised.

No dose adjustments are required for patients with mild renal impairment and multiple myeloma. The following dose adjustments are recommended at the start of therapy and throughout treatment fo patients with moderate or severe impaired renal function or end stage renal disease There are no phase III trial experiences with End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) (CLcr < 30 mL/min. requiring

Renal function (CLcr)	Dose adjustment	
	(days 1 to 21 of repeated	
	28-day cycles)	
Moderate renal impairment	10 mg once daily <sup>1</sup>	
(30 ≤ CLcr < 50 mL/min)		
Severe renal impairment	7.5 mg once daily <sup>2</sup>	
(CLcr < 30 mL/min, not requiring dialysis)	15 mg every other day	
End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD)	5 mg once daily. On dialysis	
(CLcr < 30 mL/min, requiring dialysis)	days, the dose should be administered following dialysis.	

After initiation of lenalidomide therapy, subsequent lenalidomide dose modification in renally impaired patients should be based on individual patient treatment tolerance, as described above.

- Patients with hepatic impairment
- Lenalidomide has not formally been studied in patients with impaired hepatic function and there are no

## of safety concerns (see Pharmacodynamic properties).

Paediatric population

Method of administration

Lenalidomide hard capsules should be taken orally at about the same time on the scheduled days. The Pregnancy testing with water, either with or without food.

It is recommended to press only on one end of the capsule to remove it from the blister thereby reducing the risk of capsule deformation or breakage

## Contraindications

 Hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the excipients listed in section 6.1. Women who are pregnant.

### Women of childbearing potential unless all of the conditions of the Pregnancy Prevention · Programme are met (see sections Special warnings and precautions for use and Fertility, pregnancy and lactation)

## Special warnings and precautions for use

Pregnancy warning alidomide is structurally related to thalidomide. Thalidomide is a known human teratogenia active substance that causes severe life-threatening birth defects. Lenalidomide induced in monkeys malformations similar to those described with thalidomide (see sections Fertility, pregnancy and lactation and Preclinical safety data). If lenalidomide is taken during pregnancy, a

eratogenic effect of lenalidomide in humans is expected. The conditions of the Pregnancy Prevention Programme must be fulfilled for all patients unless there is reliable evidence that the patient does not have childbearing potential.

Lenalidomide may be continued in patients with Grade 1 or 2 tumour flare reaction (TFR) without

A female patient or a female

during breast-feeding does not rule out childbearing potential) Premature ovarian failure confirmed by a specialist gynaecologis

## She understands the expected teratogenic risk to the unborn child

She understands the need for effective contraception, without interruption, 4 weeks befor starting treatment, throughout the entire duration of treatment, and 4 weeks after the end of

- Even if a woman of childbearing potential has amenorrhea she must follow all the advice on effective contraception She should be capable of complying with effective contraceptive measur
- She is informed and understands the potential consequences of pregnancy and the need to rapidly consult if there is a risk of pregnancy She understands the need to commence the treatment as soon as lenalidomide is dispensed
- following a negative pregnancy test She understands the need and accepts to undergo pregnancy testing every 4 weeks except in
- case of confirmed tubal sterilisation She acknowledges that she understands the hazards and necessary precautions associated with the use of lenalidomide

For male patients taking legalidomide, pharmacokinetic data has demonstrated that legalidomide is present in human semen at extremely low levels during treatment and is undetectable in human semen 3 days after stopping the substance in the healthy subject (see Pharmacokinetic properties). is a precaution and taking into account special populations with prolonged elimination time such therapy (see sections Interaction with other medicinal products and other forms of interaction and

Baseline and ongoing monitoring of thyroid function is recommended. as renal impairment, all male patients taking lenalidomide must meet the following conditions:

- Understand the expected teratogenic risk if engaged in sexual activity with a pregnant woman or a woman of childbearing potential Understand the need for the use of a condom if engaged in sexual activity with a pregnant
- woman or a woman of childbearing potential not using effective contraception (even if the man has had a vasectomy), during treatment and for 1 week after dose interruptions and/or cessation of treatment
- Understand that if his female partner becomes pregnant whilst he is taking lenalidomide or shortly after he has stopped taking lenalidomide, he should inform his treating physician immediately and that it is recommended to refer the female partner to a physician specialised or experienced in teratology for evaluation and advice

he prescriber must ensure that for women of childbearing potential The patient complies with the conditions of the Pregnancy Prevention Programme, including

confirmation that she has an adequate level of understanding The patient has acknowledged the aforementioned condit

therapy, during therapy, and until 4 weeks after lenalidomide therapy and even in case of dose products should be recommended, especially in patients with additional thrombotic risk factors. The interruption unless the patient commits to absolute and continuous abstinence confirmed on a decision to take antithrombotic prophylactic measures should be made after careful assessment of Severe skin reactions monthly basis. If not established on effective contraception, the patient must be referred to an appropriately trained health care professional for contraceptive advice in order that contraception an he initiated

The following can be considered to be examples of suitable methods of contracention:

 Levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system (IUS) Medroxyprogesterone acetate depot

 Tubal sterilisation Sexual intercourse with a vasectomised male partner only; vasectomy must be confirmed by two

negative semen analyses vulation inhibitory progesterone-only pills (i.e. desogestre

taking lenalidomide monotherapy, combined oral contraceptive pills are not recommended (see eraction with other medicinal products and other forms of interaction).

effective methods listed above. The risk of venous thromboembolism continues for 4–6 weeks after susceptible to induce bleeding (see section Undesirable effects, Haemorrhagic disorders). discontinuing combined oral contraception. The efficacy of contraceptive steroids may be reduced Co-administration of lenalidomide with other myelosuppressive agents should be undertaken with uring co-treatment with dexamethasone (see Interaction with other medicinal products and other caution. forms of interaction)

mplants and levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine systems are associated with an increased risk of onsidered particularly in patients with neutropenia.

Copper-releasing intrauterine devices are generally not recommended due to the potential risks of infection at the time of insertion and menstrual blood loss which may compromise patients with eutropenia or thrombocytopenia.

same day. Dispensing of lenalidomide to women of childbearing potential should occur within 7 neutropenia was reported at similar frequencies in the lenalidomide maintenance arms compared days of the prescription. Prior to startina treatment

lenalidomide is prescribed, or in the 3 days prior to the visit to the prescriber once the patient had

## follow-up and end of treatment

pregnant when she starts treatment with lenalidomide.

the end of treatment, except in the case of confirmed tubal sterilisation. These pregnancy tests petechiae and epistaxes, especially in patients receiving concomitant medicinal products should be performed on the day of the prescribing visit or in the 3 days prior to the visit to the susceptible to induce bleeding (see section Undesirable effects, Haemorrhagic disorders). prescriber.

iny unused capsules to their pharmacist at the end of treatment for safe disposal.

see section Undesirable effects). the expected teratogenicity of lenalidomide, to provide advice on contraception before therapy is

Grade 3 or 4 thrombocytopenia was observed to a lesser extent in the Rd and Rd18 arms than in the

Abnormal liver function tests were commonly reported and were generally asymptomatic and In vitro, lenalidomide is a substrate of P-gp, but is not a P-gp inhibitor. Co-administration of tarted, and to provide guidance on the need for pregnancy testing. The prescriber must inform comparator arm (8.1% vs 11.1%, respectively). male and female patients about the expected teratogenic risk and the strict pregnancy prevention measures as specified in the Pregnancy Prevention Programme and provide patients with lenalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone appropriate national discational brochure national and/or equivalent tool in accordance to the national implemented patient card system. A national controlled distribution system has been applemented in collaboration with each National Competent Authority. The controlled distribution For women of childbearing potential, lenalidomide is contraindicated unless all of the following are system includes the use of a patient card and/or equivalent tool for prescribing and/or dispensing ntrols, and the collecting of detailed data relating to the indication in order to monitor closely th with 7.8% in MPp+p-treated patients; see section 4.8). Grade 4 febrile neutropenia episodes were off-label use within the national territory. Ideally, pregnancy testing, issuing a prescription and lispensing should occur on the same day. Dispensing of lenalidomide to women of childbearing reated patients; see section Undesirable effects). potential should occur within 7 days of the prescription and following a medically supervised egative pregnancy test result. Prescriptions for women of childbearing potential can be for a associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (40.4% in naximum duration of 4 weeks, and prescriptions for all other patients can be for a maximum duration of 12 weeks.

Undesirable effects).

Multiple myeloma: patients with at least one prior therapy

sone-treated patients; see section Undesirable effects).

treatment should not receive lenalidomide.

treatment as indicated.

also in the context of NDMM after stem cell transplantation. Though this risk is not vet fully

patients not-exposed to lenalidomide after ASCT). The incidence rate of solid tumour SPMs was

uring treatment using standard cancer screening for occurrence of SPM and institute

0.60 per 100 person-years for patients not-exposed to lenalidomide after ASCT).

one prior therapy is associated with a higher incidence of grade 4 neutropenia (5.1% in

## Other special warnings and precautions for use

and hyperlipidaemia).

Avocardial infarction Myocardial infarction has been reported in patients receiving lenalidomide, particularly in those with known risk factors and within the first 12 months when used in combination with dexamethasone. Patients with known risk factors - including prior thrombosis - should be closely monitored, and action should be taken to try to minimize all modifiable risk factors (eg. smoking, hypertension

### enous and arterial thromboembolic events In patients with multiple myeloma, the combination of lenalidomide with dexamethasone is The combination of lenalidomide with dexamethasone in multiple myeloma patients is associated. ssociated with an increased risk of venous thromboembolism (predominantly deep vein with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, respectively, in

thrombosis and pulmonary embolism) and was seen to a lesser extent with lenalidomide in lenalidomide/dexamethasone-treated patients compared to 2.3% and 0.0% in placebo/dexamethaombination with melphalan and prednisone. In patients with multiple myeloma, treatment with lenalidomide monotherapy was associated with Thyroid disorders

Undesirable effects) Peripheral neuropathy In patients with multiple myeloma, the combination of lenalidomide with dexamethasone is

Lenalidomide is structurally related to thalidomide, which is known to induce severe peripheral iated with an increased risk of arterial thromboembolism (predominantly myocardial neuropathy, There was no increase in peripheral neuropathy observed with long term use of HBV infection throughout therapy. infarction and cerebrovascular event) and was seen to a lesser extent with lenalidomide in lenalidomide for the treatment of newly diagnosed multiple myeloma combination with melphalan and prednisone. The risk of ATE is lower in patients with multiple <u>Tumour flare reaction and tumour lysis syndrome</u> myeloma treated with lenalidomide monotherapy than in patients with multiple myeloma treated

Because lenalidomide has anti-neoplastic activity the complications of tumour lysis syndrome (TLS)

ith lenalidomide in combination therapy. should be closely monitored. Action should be taken to try to minimize all modifiable risk factors (e.g. treated with lenalidomide, Fatal instances of TLS have been reported during treatment with smoking, hypertension, and hyperlipidaemia). Concomitant administration of erythropoietic agents lenalidomide. The patients at risk of TLS and TFR are those with high tumour burden prior to or previous history of thromboembolic events may also increase thrombotic risk in these patients. treatment. Caution should be practiced when introducing these patients to lenalidomide. These herefore, erythropoietic agents, or other agents that may increase the risk of thrombosis, such as patients should be monitored closely, especially during the first cycle or dose-escalation, and Cataract has been reported with a higher frequency in patients receiving lenalidomide in hormone replacement therapy, should be used with caution in multiple myeloma patients receiving appropriate precautions taken. There have been rare reports of TI S in patients with MM treated with combination with dexamethasone particularly when used for a prolonged time. Regular

nalidomide with dexamethasone. A haemoglobin concentration above 12 g/dl should lead to lenalidomide. discontinuation of erythropoietic agents.

Allergic reactions

Cases of allergic reaction/hypersensitivity reactions have been reported in patients treated with a case of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML)

Cases of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), including fatal cases, have been reported in patients treated with a case of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML). thromboembolism. Patients should be instructed to seek medical care if they develop symptoms lenalidomide (see section Undesirable effects). Patients who had previous allergic reactions while such as shortness of breath, chest pain, arm or leg swelling. Prophylactic antithrombotic medicinal treated with thalidomide should be monitored closely, as a possible cross-reaction between lenalidomide and thalidomide has been reported in the literature.

n individual patient's underlying risk factors. If the patient experiences any thromboembolic events, treatment must be discontinued and andard anticoagulation therapy started. Once the patient has been stabilised on the anticoagulation prescribers and should be told to seek medical attention immediately if they develop these treatment and any complications of the thromboembolic event have been managed, the lenalidomide treatment may be restarted at the original dose dependent upon a benefit risk is suspected, and should not be resumed following discontinuation for these reactions assessment. The patient should continue anticoagulation therapy during the course of lenalidomide

## Neutropenia and thrombocytopenia

he major dose limiting toxicities (DLT) of lenalidomide include neutropenia and thrombocytopenia. A complete blood cell count, including white blood cell count with differential count, platelet count, oglobin, and haematocrit should be performed at baseline, every week for the first 8 weeks of lenalidomide treatment and monthly thereafter to monitor for cytopenias. In case of neutropenia, lenalidomide in combination therapy, and to a lesser extent in patients with multiple myeloma, the physician should consider the use of growth factors in patient management. Patients should be advised to promptly report febrile episodes

Patients and physicians are advised to be observant for signs and symptoms of bleeding. including If a patient is currently using combined oral contraception the patient should switch to one of the petechiae and epistaxes, especially in patients receiving concomitant medicinal products plastic syndrome [MDS]]) has been observed in patients receiving lenalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone until progression (1.75 per 100 person-years) compared with melphalan n combination with prednisone (0.36 per 100 person-years).

## • Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: patients who have undergone ASCT treated with lenalidomide maintenance

infection at the time of insertion and irregular vaginal bleeding. Prophylactic antibiotics should be The adverse reactions from CALGB 100104 included events reported post-high dose melphalan and 18 months, the hematologic SPM incidence rate (0.16 per 100 person-years) was not increased ASCT (HDM/ASCT) as well as events from the maintenance treatment period. A second analysis as compared to thalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone (0.79 per 100 enzyme inducer. In an in vitro study with human hepatocytes, lenalidomide, at various as compared to thalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone (0.79 per 100 enzyme inducer. In an in vitro study with human hepatocytes, lenalidomide, at various as compared to thalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone (0.79 per 100 enzyme inducer. In an in vitro study with human hepatocytes, lenalidomide, at various as compared to thalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone (0.79 per 100 enzyme inducer. In an in vitro study with human hepatocytes, lenalidomide, at various as compared to thalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone (0.79 per 100 enzyme inducer. In an in vitro study with human hepatocytes, lenalidomide, at various as compared to thalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone (0.79 per 100 enzyme inducer. In an in vitro study with human hepatocytes, lenalidomide, at various as compared to thalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone (0.79 per 100 enzyme inducer. In an in vitro study with human hepatocytes, lenalidomide, at various as compared to thalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone (0.79 per 100 enzyme inducer. In an in vitro study with human hepatocytes, lenalidomide, at various as compared to thalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone (0.79 per 100 enzyme inducer. In an in vitro study with human hepatocytes, lenalidomide, at various as compared to thalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone (0.79 per 100 enzyme inducer. In an in vitro study with human hepatocytes, lenalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone (0.79 per 100 enzyme inducer. In an in vitro study with human hepatocytes, lenalidomide in combination with the prednisone (0.79 per 100 enzyme inducer. In an in vitro study with human hepatocytes, lena identified events that occurred after the start of maintenance treatment. In IFM 2005-02, the adverse A 13-fold increase in incidence rate of solid tumour SPM has been observed in natients

Overall, grade 4 neutropenia was observed at a higher frequency in the lenalidomide maintenance arms compared to the placebo maintenance arms in the 2 studies evaluating lenalidomide (1.58 per 100 person-years) compared to thalidomide in combination with melphalan and capsules should not be opened, broken or chewed. The capsules should be swallowed whole, preferably with water, either with or without food.

According to local practice, medically supervised pregnancy tests with a minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maintenance in NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the minimum sensitivity of 25 maint The increased risk of secondary primary malignancies associated with lenalidomide is relevant equirement includes women of childbearing potential who practice absolute and continuous

Treatment-emergent AEs of neutropenia leading to lenalidomide discontinuation were reported in abstinence. Ideally, pregnancy testing, issuing a prescription and dispensing should occur on the 2.2% of patients in CALGB 100104 and 2.4% of patients in IFM 2005-02, respectively. Grade 4 febrile to placebo maintenance arms in both studies (0.4% vs 0.5% [0.4% vs 0.5% after the start of paintenance treatment] in CALGR 100104 and 0.3% vs.0% in IFM 2005-02 respectively). Patients should be advised to promptly report febrile episodes, a treatment interruption and/or dose medically supervised pregnancy test should be performed during the consultation, when reductions may be required (see section Posology and method of administration)

Grade 3 or 4 thrombocytopenia was observed at a higher frequency in the lenalidomide mai been using effective contraception for at least 4 weeks. The test should ensure the patient is not arms compared to the placebo maintenance arms in studies evaluating lenalidomide NDMM patients who have undergone ASCT (37.5% vs 30.3% [17.9% vs 4.1% after the start of aintenance treatment] in CALGB 100104 and 13.0% vs 2.9% in IFM 2005-02, respectively). A medically supervised pregnancy test should be repeated every 4 weeks, including 4 weeks after Patients and physicians are advised to be observant for signs and symptoms of bleeding, including

### Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma; patients who are not eligible for transplant treated with nalidomide in combination with low dose dexamethasone Hepatic disorders Patients should be instructed never to give this medicinal product to another person and to return Grade 4 neutropenia was observed in the lenalidomide arms in combination with low dose

dexamethasone to a lesser extent than in the comparator arm (8.5% in the Rd [continuous Patients should not donate blood during therapy or for 1 week following discontinuation of treatment] and Rd18 [treatment for 18 four-week cycles] compared with 15% in the

nia episodes were consistent with the comparator arm (0.6 % in the Rd and Rd 18 lenalidomide/dexa- severe drug-induced hepatotoxicity remain unknown although, in some cases, pre-existing clinically relevant effect on the multiple dose pharmacokinetics of lenalidomide (25 mg once In order to assist patients in avoiding feetal exposure to lenalidomide, the marketing authorisation methasone-treated patients compared with 0.7% in the melohalan/prednisone/thalidomide arm. viral liver disease, elevated baseline liver enzymes, and possibly treatment with antibiotics daily). might be risk factors.

> reversible upon dosing interruption. Once parameters have returned to baseline, treatment at multiple doses of the strong P-gp inhibitor quinidine (600 mg, twice daily) or the moderate Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: patients who are not eligible for transplant treated with
>
>  a lower dose may be considered.

Lenalidomide is excreted by the kidneys. It is important to dose adjust patients with renal impairment he combination of lenalidomide with melphalan and prednisone in clinical trials of newly in order to avoid plasma levels which may increase the risk for higher haematological adverse pharmacokinetics of temsirolimus. diagnosed multiple myeloma patients is associated with a higher incidence of grade 4 neutropenia reactions or hepatotoxicity. Monitoring of liver function is recommended, particularly when there is a (34.1% in melphalan, prednisone and lenalidomide arm followed by lenalidomide [MPR+R] and history of or concurrent viral liver infection or when lenalidomide is combined with medicinal melphalan, prednisone and lenalidomide followed by placebo [MPR+p] treated patients compared products known to be associated with liver dysfunction.

### Infection with or without neutropenia observed infrequently (1.7% in MPR+R/MPR+p treated patients compared to 0.0 % in MPp+p

Patients with multiple myeloma are prone to develop infections including pneumonia. A higher rate of infections was observed with lenalidomide in combination with dexamethasone than The combination of lenalidomide with melphalan and prednisone in multiple myeloma patients is with MPT in patients with NDMM who are not eligible for transplant, and with lenalidomide maintenance compared to placebo in patients with NDMM who had undergone ASCT. Grade > MPR+R/MPR+p treated patients, compared with 13.7% in MPp+p-treated patients; see section 3 infections occurred within the context of neutropenia in less than one-third of the patients. Patients with known risk factors for infections should be closely monitored. All patients should be advised to seek medical attention promptly at the first sign of infection (eq. cough, fever, etc) The combination of lenalidomide with dexamethasone in multiple myeloma patients with at least thereby allowing for early management to reduce severity.

Cases of viral reactivation have been reported in patients receiving lenalidomide, including lenalidomide/dexamethasone-treated patients compared with 0.6% in were observed serious cases of hernes zoster or henatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation placebo/dexamethasone-treated patients; see section Undesirable effects). Grade 4 febrile

Some of the cases of viral reactivation had a fatal outcome. neutropenia episodes were observed infrequently (0.6% in lenalidomide/dexamethasone -treated Some of the cases of herpes zoster reactivation resulted in disseminated herpes zoster patients compared to 0.0% in placebo/dexamethasone treated patients; see section Undesirable meningitis herpes zoster or ophthalmic herpes zoster requiring a temporary hold or permanent discontinuation of the treatment with lenalidomide and adequate antiviral treatment.

Reactivation of hepatitis B has been reported rarely in patients receiving lenalidomide who have previously been infected with the benatitis B virus (HBV). Some of these cases have progressed to acute hepatic failure resulting in discontinuation of lenalidomide and adequate

a lower risk of venous thromboembolism (predominantly deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary Cases of hyperthyroidism and cases of hyperthyroidism have been reported. Optimal control of Hepatitis B virus status should be established before initiating treatment with lenalidomide. For embolism) than in patients with multiple myeloma treated with lenalidomide in combination co-morbid conditions influencing thyroid function is recommended before start of treatment. treatment of henatitis B is recommended. Caution should be exercised when lenalidomide is used in patients previously infected with HBV, including patients who are anti-HBc positive but HBsAg negative. These patients should be closely monitored for signs and symptoms of active

## · Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patients

There was a higher rate of intolerance (grade 3 or 4 adverse events, serious adverse events, discontinuation) in patients with age > 75 years, ISS stage III, ECOG PS<2 or CL cr<60 ml /min when may occur. TLS and tumour flare reaction (TFR) have commonly been observed in patients with with lenalidomide in combination therapy.

Inay occur. Its and unious lines reaction (CTL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly in patients with lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly limit lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and uncommonly limit lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) (CLL), and uncommonly limit lymphomas, who were chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) (CLL), and uncommonly limit lymphocytic leuke lenalidomide is given in combination. Patients should be carefully assessed for their ability to mL/min (see sections Posology and method of administration and Undesirable effects).

## monitoring of visual ability is recommended

reported with lenalidomide. PML was reported several months to several years after starting

The adverse reactions described in Table 1 included events reported post-HDM/ASCT as well as the treatment with lenalidomide. Cases have generally been reported in patients taking concomitant dexamethasone or prior treatment with other immunosuppressive chemotherapy. hysicians should monitor patients at regular intervals and should consider PML in the Severe cutaneous reactions including SJS, and TEN and DRESS have been reported with the use of differential diagnosis in patients with new or worsening neurological symptoms, cognitive or 2005-02, the adverse reactions were from the maintenance treatment period only. lenalidomide. Patients should be advised of the signs and symptoms of these reactions by their behavioural signs or symptoms. Patients should also be advised to inform their partner or The serious adverse reactions observed more frequently (≥5%) with lenalidomide maintenance caregivers about their treatment, since they may notice symptoms that the patient is not aware of. than placebo were: symptoms. Lenalidomide must be discontinued for exfoliative or bullous rash, or if SJS, TEN or DRESS The evaluation for PML should be based on neurological examination, magnetic resonance • Pneumonias (10.6%; combined term) from IFM 2005-02 Interruption or discontinuation of lenalidomide should be considered for other forms of skin imaging of the brain, and cerebrospinal fluid analysis for JC virus (JCV) DNA by polymerase • Lung infection (9.4% [9.4% after the start of maintenance treatment]) from CALGB 100104 chain reaction (PCR) or a brain biopsy with testing for JCV. A negative JCV PCR does not exclude

In the IFM 2005-02 study, the adverse reactions observed more frequently with lenalidomide reaction depending on severity. Patients with a history of severe rash associated with thalidomide PML. Additional follow-up and evaluation may be warranted if no alternative diagnosis can be established.

## An increase of second primary malignancies (SPM) has been observed in clinical trials in previously treated myeloma patients receiving lenalidomide/dexamethasone (3.98 per 100 confirmed, lenalidomide must be permanently discontinued.

erson-years) compared to controls (1.38 per 100 person-years). Non-invasive SPM comprise Lactose intolerance basal cell or squamous cell skin cancers. Most of the invasive SPMs were solid tumour malignan-Lenalidomide hard capsules contain lactose. Patients with rare hereditary problems of galactose intolerance, total lactase deficiency or glucose-galactose malabsorption should not [25.0%]), upper respiratory tract infection (26.8% [26.8%]), fatigue (22.8% [17.9%]), leucopenia In clinical trials of newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patients not eligible for transplant, a 4.9-fold take this medicinal product.

### increase in incidence rate of hematologic SPM (cases of acute myeloid leukaemia [AML], myelodysInteraction with other medicinal products and other forms of interaction Erythropoietic agents, or other agents that may increase the risk of thrombosis, such as hormone replacement therapy, should be used with caution in multiple myeloma patients

receiving lenalidomide with dexamethasone. A 2.12-fold increase in incidence rate of solid tumour SPM has been observed in patients receivinglenalidomide (9 cycles) in combination with melphalan and prednisone (1.57 per 100 person-years). In natients receiving lenalidomide in combination with dexamethasone until progression or for No interaction study has been performed with oral contraceptives. Lenalidomide is not an

receiving lenalidomide in combination with dexamethasone until progression or for 18 months contraceptives, is not expected if lenalidomide is administered alone. However, dexamethasone ne is known to be a weak to moderate inducer of CYP3A4 and is likely to also affect other enzymes as well as transporters. It may not be excluded that the efficacy of oral contraceptives may be reduced during treatment. Effective measures to avoid pregnancy must be taken. Warfarin

Co-administration of multiple 10 mg doses of lenalidomide had no effect on the single dose characterized, it should be kept in mind when considering and using lenalidomide in this pharmacokinetics of R- and S- warfarin. Co-administration of a single 25 mg dose of warfarin setting. The incidence rate of hematologic malignancies, most notably AML, MDS and B-cell had no effect on the pharmacokinetics of lenalidomide. However, it is not known whether there
• Febrile neutropenia (6.0%) malignancies (including Hodgkin's lymphoma), was 1.31 per 100 person-years for the is an interaction during clinical use (concomitant treatment with devamethasone). Devamethaenalidomide arms and 0.58 per 100 person-years for the placebo arms (1.02 per 100 sone is a weak to moderate enzyme inducer and its effect on warfarin is unknown. Close person-years for patients exposed to lenalidomide after ASCT and 0.60 per 100 person-years for

## monitoring of warfarin concentration is advised during the treatment 1.36 per 100 person-years for the lenalidomide arms and 1.05 per 100 person-years for the

<u>Statins</u>

Concomitant administration with lenalidomide 10 mg once daily increased the plasma placebo arms (1.26 per 100 person-years for patients exposed to lenalidomide after ASCT and exposure of digoxin (0.5 mg, single dose) by 14% with a 90% CI (confidence interval) [0,52%-28,2%]. It is not known whether the effect will be different in the clinical use (higher The risk of occurrence of hematologic SPM must be taken into account before initiating lenalidomide doses and concomitant treatment with dexamethasone). Therefore, mor treatment with lenalidomide either in combination with melphalan or immediately following of the digoxin concentration is advised during lenalidomide treatment. high-dose melphalan and ASCT. Physicians should carefully evaluate patients before and

which may be simply additive. Enhanced clinical and laboratory monitoring is warranted Hepatic failure, including fatal cases, has been reported in patients treated with lenalidomide in notably during the first weeks of treatment. combination therapy; acute hepatic failure toxic hepatitis cytolytic hepatitis cholestatic Dexamethasone

## Interactions with P-glycoprotein (P-gp) inhibitors

P-gp inhibitor/substrate temsirolimus (25 mg) has no clinically relevant effect on the pharmacokinetics of lenalidomide (25 mg). Co-administration of lenalidomide does not alter the

# Due to the teratogenic potential, lenalidomide must be prescribed under a Pregnancy

Prevention Programme unless there is reliable evidence that the patient does not have childbearing potential. Women of childbearing potential / Contraception in males and females

## Women of childbearing potential should use effective method of contraception. If pregnance occurs in a woman treated with lenalidomide, treatment must be stopped and the patient

should be referred to a physician specialised or experienced in teratology for evaluation and advice. If pregnancy occurs in a partner of a male patient taking lenalidomide, it is recomme ded to refer the female partner to a physician specialised or experienced in teratology for evaluation and advice. Lenalidomide is present in human semen at extremely low levels during treatment and is

undetectable in human semen 3 days after stopping the substance in the healthy subject. As a precaution, and taking into account special populations with prolonged elimination time such as renal impairment, all male patients taking lenalidomide should use condoms throughou treatment duration, during dose interruption and for 1 week after cessation of treatment if their partner is pregnant or of childbearing potential and has no contraception. Pregnancy

## Lenalidomide is structurally related to thalidomide. Thalidomide is a known human teratogeni

during pregnancy.

active substance that causes severe life-threatening birth defects. Lenalidomide induced in monkey malformations similar to those described with thalidomide. Therefore, a teratogenic effect of lenalidomide is expected and lenalidomide is contraindicate

Breast-feeding It is not known whether lenalidomide is excreted in human milk. Therefore breast-feeding should be discontinued during therapy with lenalidomide.

## A fertility study in rats with lenalidomide doses up to 500 mg/kg (approximately 200 to 500 times the human doses of 25 mg and 10 mg, respectively, based on body surface area)

produced no adverse effects on fertility and no parental toxicity.

Effects on ability to drive and use machines Lenalidomide has minor or moderate influence on the ability to drive and use machines. Fatigue, dizziness sompolence vertigo and blurred vision have been reported with the use of legalidomide Therefore, caution is recommended when driving or operating machines.

### Undesirable effects Summary of the safety profile

Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: patients who have undergone ASCT treated with lenglidomide maintenance A conservative approach was applied to determine the adverse reactions from CALGB 100104.

events from the maintenance treatment period. A second analysis that identified events that occurred after the start of maintenance treatment suggests that the frequencies described in Table 1 may be higher than actually observed during the maintenance treatment period. In IEM

maintenance than placebo were neutropenia (60.8%), bronchitis (47.4%), diarrhoea (38.9%), nasopharyngitis (34.8%), muscle spasms (33.4%), leucopenia (31.7%), asthenia (29.7%), cough (27.3%), thrombocytopenia (23.5%), gastroenteritis (22.5%) and pyrexia (20.5%). In the CALGB 100104 study, the adverse reactions observed more frequently with lenalidomide maintenance than placebo were neutropenia (79.0% [71.9% after the start of maintenance treatment]), thrombocytopenia (72.3% [61.6%]), diarrhoea (54.5% [46.4%]), rash (31.7%)

## (22.8% [18.8%]) and anaemia (21.0% 13.8%]). Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: patients who are not eligible for transplant treated with lenalidomide in combination with low dose dexamethasone

The serious adverse reactions observed more frequently (≥5%) with lenalidomide in combination with low dose dexamethasone (Rd and Rd18) than with melphalan, prednisone and thalidomide (MPT) were: Pneumonia (9.8%)

centrations tested did not induce CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP2C19 and CYP3A4/5.

Therefore, induction leading to reduced efficacy of medicinal products, including hormonal fatique (32,8%), back pain (32,0%), asthenia (28,2%), insomnia (27,6%), rash (24,3%), decreased appetite (23.1%), cough (22.7%), pyrexia (21.4%), and muscle spasms (20.5%). Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: patients who are not eligible for transplant treated with lenalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone

The adverse reactions observed more frequently with Rd or Rd18 than MPT were: diarrhoea (45.5%)

The serious adverse reactions observed more frequently (≥5%) with melphalan, prednisone and lenalidomide followed by lenalidomide maintenance (MPR+R) or melphalan, prednisone and lenalidomide followed by placebo (MPR+p) than melphalan, prednisone and placebo followed by placebo (MPp+p) were:

 Anaemia (5 3%) The adverse reactions observed more frequently with MPR+R or MPR+p than MPp+p were

neutropenia (83.3%), anaemia (70.7%), thrombocytopenia (70.0%), leukopenia (38.8%), constipatior (34.0%), diarrhoea (33.3%), rash (28.9%), pyrexia (27.0%), peripheral oedema (25.0%), cough (24.0%), decreased appetite (23.7%), and asthenia (22.0%).

Multiple myeloma: patients with at least one prior therapy In two phase III placebo-controlled studies, 353 patients with multiple myeloma were exposed to the lenalidomide/dexamethasone combination and 351 to the placebo/dexamethasone combination

The most serious adverse reactions observed more frequently in lenalidomide/ dexamethaso ne than placebo/dexamethasone combination were:

### There is an increased risk of rhabdomyolysis when statins are administered with lenalidomide Venous thromboembolism (deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism) · Grade 4 neutropenia.

The observed adverse reactions which occurred more frequently with lenalidomide and dexamethasone than placebo and dexamethasone in pooled multiple myeloma clinical trials hepatitis, and mixed cytolytic/cholestatic hepatitis have been reported. The mechanisms of Co-administration of single or multiple doses of dexamethasone (40 mg once daily) has no (MM-009 and MM-010) were fatigue (43.9%), neutropenia (42.2%), constipation (40.5%), diarrhoea

(38.5%), muscle cramp (33.4%), anaemia (31.4%), thrombocytopenia (21.5%), and rash (21.2%).

Tabulated list of adverse reactions The adverse reactions observed in patients treated with lenalidomide are listed below by system organ class and frequency. Within each frequency grouping, adverse reactions are presented in order of decreasing seriousness. Frequencies are defined as: very common (≥ 1/10): common (> 1/100 to < 1/10): uncommon (> 1/1,000 to < 1/100): rare (> 1/10,000 to < 1/1,000); very rare (< 1/10,000), not known (cannot be estimated from the available data). Adverse reactions have been included under the appropriate category in the table below

according to the highest frequency observed in any of the main clinical trials. Tabulated summary for monotherapy in MM

The following table is derived from data gathered during NDMM studies in patients who have undergone ASCT treated with lenalidomide maintenance. The data were not adjusted according to the longer duration of treatment in the lenalidomide-containing arms continued until disease progression versus the

bo arms in the pivotal multiple Table 1. ADRs reported in clini	myeloma studies. cal trials in patients with multiple	e myeloma treated with
System Organ	All ADRs/Frequency	Grade 3-4 ADRs/Frequency
Class/Preferred Term	Very Common Pneumonias <sup>0,a</sup> , Upper respiratory tract infection, Neutropenic infection,	Very Common Pneumonias <sup>0,a</sup> , Neutropenic infection
Infections and Infestations	Bronchitis <sup>0</sup> , Influenza <sup>0</sup> , Gastroenteritis <sup>0</sup> , Sinusitis, Nasopharyngitis, Rhinitis	Common Sepsis <sup>©,b</sup> , Bacteraemia, Lung infection <sup>o</sup> , Lower respiratory tract infection bacterial,
	Common Infection <sup>0</sup> , Urinary tract infection <sup>0</sup> ,*, Lower respiratory tract infection, Lung infection <sup>0</sup>	Bronchitis <sup>0</sup> , Influenza <sup>0</sup> , Gastroenteritis <sup>0</sup> , Herpes zoster <sup>0</sup> , Infection <sup>0</sup>
Neoplasms Benign, Malignant and Unspecified (incl cysts and polyps)	Common Myelodysplastic syndrome <sup>0,*</sup>	
Blood and Lymphatic System Disorders	Very Common Neutropenia^o, Febrile neutropenia^o, Thrombocytopenia^o, Anaemia , Leucopenia^o, Lymphopenia	Very Common Neutropenia^⋄, Febrile neutropenia^₀, Thrombocytopenia^⋄, Anaemia, Leucopenia⁰, Lymphopenia Common
Metabolism and Nutrition Disorders	Very Common Hypokalaemia	Pancytopenia <sup>0</sup> Common Hypokalaemia, Dehydration
Nervous System Disorders	Very Common Paraesthesia  Common Peripheral neuropathy <sup>c</sup>	Common Headache
Vascular Disorders	Common Pulmonary embolism <sup>()</sup> *	Common Deep vein thrombosis^,0,d
Respiratory, Thoracic and Mediastinal Disorders	Very Common Cough  Common Dyspnoea <sup>0</sup> , Rhinorrhoea	Common Dyspnoea <sup>†</sup>
Gastrointestinal Disorders	Very Common Diarrhoea, Constipation, Abdominal pain, Nausea Common	Common Diarrhoea, Vomiting, Nausea
Hepatobiliary Disorders	Vomiting, Abdominal pain upper Very Common	Common
Skin and Subcutaneous Tissue Disorders	Abnormal liver function tests  Very Common Rash, Dry skin	Abnormal liver function tests  Common Rash, Pruritus
Musculoskeletal and Connective Tissue Disorders	Very Common Muscle spasms Common Myalgia, Musculoskeletal pain	
General Disorders and Administration Site Conditions se reactions reported as serious in clinic	Very Common Fatigue, Asthenia, Pyrexia	Common Fatigue, Asthenia

cludes the following PTs: Bacterial sepsis, Pneumococcal sepsis, Septic shock, Staphylococcal sep sis

combined AE term includes the following PTs: Deep vein thrombosis. Thrombosis. Venous thrombosis

Tabulated summary for combination therapy in MM

The following table is derived from data gathered during the multiple myeloma studies with combination therapy. The data were not adjusted according to the longer duration of treatment in the lenalidomide-containing arms continued until disease progression versus the

				and Connective	wusele spasins, Boile pain,	widscular weakires
parator arms in the	e pivotal multiple myeloma studies.			Tissue Disorders	Musculoskeletal and connective tissue pain	Musculoskeletal an
Table 2 ADRs rene	orted in clinical studies in patients with multi	nle myelome treated with			and discomfort (including back pain),	tissue pain and disc
	abination with dexamethasone, or with melp				Arthralgia <sup>0</sup>	(including back pai
	All ADRs/Frequency		İ			
System Organ	All ADRS/Frequency	Grade 3-4 ADRs/Frequency			Common	Uncommon
Class / Preferred					Muscular weakness, Joint swelling, Myalgia	Joint swelling
Term				Renal and	Very Common	Uncommon
Infections and	Very Common	Common		Urinary	Renal failure (including acute)	Renal tubular necro
Infestations	Pneumonia <sup>0</sup> , Upper respiratory tract	Pneumonia <sup>0</sup> , Bacterial, viral and		Disorders	Renai fanure (including acute)	Kenai tubulai necre
	infection <sup>0</sup> , Bacterial, viral and fungal	fungal infections (including		Disorders		
	infections (including opportunistic	opportunistic infections) <sup>0</sup> ,			Common	
	infections) , Nasopharyngitis, Pharyngitis,	Cellulitis <sup>o</sup> , Sepsis <sup>o</sup> , Bronchitis <sup>o</sup>			Haematuria^, Urinary retention, Urinary	
	Bronchitis <sup>0</sup>				incontinence	
	Diolemas					
	Common				Uncommon	
	Sepsis <sup>0</sup> , Sinusitis <sup>0</sup>				Acquired Fanconi syndrome	
N 1		6		Reproductive	Common	
Neoplasms	Uncommon  Basal cell carcinoma^,0, Squamous skin	Common		System and	Erectile dysfunction	
Benign,		Acute myeloid leukaemia <sup>0</sup> ,		Breast Disorders		
Malignant and	cancer^,0,*	Myelodysplastic syndrome <sup>◊</sup> ,		General	Very Common	Common
Unspecified (incl		Squamous cell carcinoma of		Disorders and	Fatigue <sup>0</sup> , Oedema (including peripheral	Fatigue <sup>0</sup> , Pyrexia <sup>0</sup> ,
cysts and polyps)		skin^,0,**		Administration	oedema), Pyrexia <sup>0</sup> , Asthenia, Influenza like	,
				Site Conditions	illness syndrome (including pyrexia, cough,	
		Uncommon		Site Conditions	myalgia, musculoskeletal pain, headache	
		T-cell type acute leukaemia0,			and rigors)	
		Basal cell carcinoma^,			and rigors)	
		lysis syndrome				
Blood and	Very Common	Very Common			Common	
Lymphatic	Neutropenia^,0, Thrombocytopenia^,0,	Neutropenia^,0,			Chest pain, Lethargy	
System Disorders	Anaemia , Haemorrhagic disorder,	Thrombocytopenia , Anaemia ,		Investigations	Common	
System Disorders	Leucopenias	Leucopenias , Anaemia ,			C-reactive protein increased	
	Leucopenias	Leucopenias		Injury, Poisoning	Common	
		G		and Procedural	Fall, Contusion^	
	Common	Common		Complications		
	Febrile neutropenia <sup>∧,0</sup> , Pancytopenia <sup>0</sup>	Febrile neutropenia^o,		^See section 4.8 description	of selected adverse reactions	
		Pancytopenia <sup>0</sup> , Haemolytic		Adverse reactions reported dexamethasone, or with mel	as serious in clinical trials in patients with multiple myeloma trea	ted with lenalidomide in cor
	Uncommon	anaemia		+ Applies to serious adverse		
	Haemolysis, Autoimmune haemolytic			* Squamous skin cancer was	reported in clinical trials in previously treated myeloma patients	with lenalidomide/dexametl
	anaemia, Haemolytic anaemia	Uncommon		controls		
		Hypercoagulation, Coagulopathy		** Squamous cell carcinoma compared to controls	of skin was reported in a clinical trial in newly diagnosed myelo	ma patients with lenalidomi
				compared to controls		

<u>Tabulated summary of post-marketing adverse reactions</u> In addition to the above adverse reactions identified from the pivotal clinical trials, the

Grade 3-4 ADRs/Frequenc

ellitus<sup>0</sup>, Hypophosphataen

zziness, Syncope

stive cardiac failure

ntracranial venous sinus

atory distress<sup>0</sup> Dysnno

Common Muscular weakness, Bone pain

Musculoskeletal and

enal tubular necrosis

Common Fatigue<sup>0</sup>, Pyrexia<sup>0</sup>, Asthenia

ased appetite, Weight

nmune System Uncommo

Eve Disorders

Common
Deafness (Including Hypoacusis), Tinnitu

Arrhythmia, OT prolongation, Atrial flutter,

Very Common
Venous thromboembolic events.

Nausea, Vomiting, Dyspepsia

rectal haemorrhage, haemorrhoidal

Very Common Rashes, Pruritus

reaction

Very Common

Muscle spasms, Bone pain<sup>0</sup>,

ingival bleeding). Dry mouth, Stomat

ng table is derived from data gathered from post-marketing data

System Organ	All ADRs/Frequency	Grade 3-4 ADRs/Frequency
Class / Preferred		
Term		
Infections and	Not Known	Not Known
Infestations	Viral infections, including herpes zoster and	Viral infections, including
	hepatitis B virus reactivation	herpes zoster and hepatitis B
		virus reactivation
Neoplasms		Rare
Benign,		Tumour lysis syndrome
Malignant and		
Unspecified (incl		
cysts and polyps) Blood and	Not Known	
Blood and Lymphatic	Acquired haemophilia	
System Disorders	Acquired naemopinna	
Immune System	Not Known	
Disorders	Solid organ transplant rejection	
Endocrine	Common	
Disorders	Hyperthyroidism	
Respiratory,	11/peraryronasii	Not Known
Thoracic and		Interstitial pneumonitis
Mediastinal		
Disorders		
Gastrointestinal		Not Known
Disorders		Pancreatitis, Gastrointestinal
		perforation (including
		diverticular, intestinal and large
		intestine perforations)^
Hepatobiliary	Not Known	Not Known
Disorders	Acute hepatic failure^, Hepatitis toxic^,	Acute hepatic failure^,
	Cytolytic hepatitis^, Cholestatic hepatitis^,	Hepatitis toxic^
	Mixed cytolytic/cholestatic hepatitis^	
Skin and		Uncommon
Subcutaneous		Angioedema
Tissue Disorders		
		Rare
		Stevens-Johnson Syndrome^,
		Toxic epidermal necrolysis^
		NAV
		Not Known
		Leukocytoclastic vasculitis,
		Drug Reaction with
		Eosinophilia and Systemic Symptoms^

## Description of selected adverse reactions

Lenalidomide is structurally related to thalidomide. Thalidomide is a known human teratogenic active substance that causes severe life-threatening birth defects. Lenalidomide induced in outcome. monkeys malformations similar to those described with thalidomide If lenalidomide is taken during pregnancy, a teratogenic effect of lenalidomide in humans is expected.

### Neutropenia and thrombocytopenia Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: patients who have undergone ASCT treated with lenglidomide

Lenalidomide maintenance after ASCT is associated with a higher frequency of grade 4 **Overdose** neutropenia compared to placebo maintenance (32.1% vs 26.7% [16.1% vs 1.8% after the start There is no specific experience in the management of lenalidomide overdose in patients. Treatment-emergent AFs of neutropenia leading to lenglidomide discontinuation were reported in 2.2% of patients in CALGB 100104 and 2.4% of patients in IFM 2005-02, respectively. Grade 4 essentially haematological. In the event of overdose, supportive care is advised. febrile neutropenia was reported at similar frequencies in the lenalidomide maintenance arms compared to placebo maintenance arms in both studies (0.4% vs 0.5% [0.4% vs 0.5% after the start of maintenance treatment] in CALGB 100104 and 0.3% vs 0% in IFM 2005-02, respectively) Lenalidomide maintenance after ASCT is associated with a higher frequency of grade 3 or 4 thrombocytopenia compared to placebo maintenance (37.5% vs 30.3% [17.9% vs 4.1% after the start Lenalidomide binds directly to cereblon, a component of a cullin ring E3 ubiquitin ligase who had not achieved a CR. of maintenance treatment I in CALGB 100104 and 13.0% vs 2.9% in IFM 2005-02, respectively).

Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: patients who are not eligible for transplant treated with lenalidomide in combination with low dose dexamethasone

he combination of lenalidomide with low dose dexamethasone in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patients is associated with a lower frequency of grade 4 neutropenia (8.5% in Rd and Rd18, compared with MPT (15%). Grade 4 febrile neutropenia was observed infrequently (0.6% in Mechanism of action Rd and Rd18 compared with 0.7% in MPT).

(8.1% in Rd and Rd18) compared with MPT (11%).

Newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: patients who are not eligible for transplant treated with lenalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone adhesion of endothelial cells and the formation of microvessels, augments foetal haemoglobin production by CD34+haematopoietic stem cells, and inhibits production of pro-inflammatory of the safety and efficacy of lenalidomide was assessed in a phase III, multicenter, randomised, production by CD34+haematopoietic stem cells, and inhibits production of pro-inflammatory of the safety and efficacy of lenalidomide was assessed in a phase III, multicenter, randomised, production by CD34+haematopoietic stem cells, and inhibits production of pro-inflammatory of lenalidomide was assessed in a phase III, multicenter, randomised, production by CD34+haematopoietic stem cells, and inhibits production of pro-inflammatory of lenalidomide was assessed in a phase III, multicenter, randomised, production by CD34+haematopoietic stem cells, and inhibits production of pro-inflammatory of lenalidomide was assessed in a phase III, multicenter, randomised, production by CD34+haematopoietic stem cells, and inhibits production of pro-inflammatory of lenalidomide was assessed in a phase III, multicenter, randomised, production by CD34+haematopoietic stem cells, and inhibits production of pro-inflammatory of lenalidomide was assessed in a phase III, multicenter, randomised, production by CD34+haematopoietic stem cells, and inhibits production of pro-inflammatory of lenalidomide was assessed in a phase III, multicenter, randomised, production by CD34+haematopoietic stem cells, and inhibits production of pro-inflammatory of lenalidomide was assessed in a phase III, multicenter, randomised, production by CD34+haematopoietic stem cells, and inhibits production of pro-inflammatory of lenalidomide was assessed in a phase III, multicenter, randomised, production by CD34+haematopoietic stem cells, and inhibits production of lenalidomide was assessed in a phase III, multicenter, randomised, productio

patients is associated with a higher frequency of grade 4 neutropenia (34.1% in MPR+R/MPR+p) ompared with MPp+p (7.8%). There was a higher frequency of grade 4 febrile neutropenia observed (1.7% in MPR+R/ MPR+p compared to 0.0% in MPp+p).

he combination of lenalidomide with melphalan and prednisone in newly diagnosed multiple eloma patients is associated with a higher frequency of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (40.4% in MPR+R/MPR+p) compared with MPp+p (13.7%).

## Multiple myeloma: patients with at least one prior therapy

The combination of lenalidomide with dexamethasone in multiple myeloma patients is associated with a higher incidence of grade 4 neutropenia (5.1% in lenalidomide/ dexamethasone-treated patients compared with 0.6% in placebo/dexamethasone- treated patients). Grade 4 febrile neutropenia episodes were observed infrequently (0.6% in lenalidomide/dexamethasone-treated patients compared to 0.0% in placebo/ dexamethasone treated patients).

placebo/dexamethasone-treated patients)

An increased risk of DVT and PE is associated with the use of the combination of lenalidomide treated with lenalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone or in patients with progression after initial therapy were eligible. multiple myeloma treated with legalidomide monotherapy

Myocardial infarction has been reported in patients receiving lenalidomide, particularly in those with known risk factors.

Haemorrhagic disorders are listed under several system organ classes: Blood and lymphatic balanced across both arms. system disorders; nervous system disorders (intracranial haemorrhage); respiratory, thoracic The study was unblinded upon the recommendations of the data monitoring committee after and mediastinal disorders (epistaxis); gastrointestinal disorders (gingival bleeding, haemorr-surpassing the threshold for a preplanned interim analysis of PFS. After unblinding, patients in hoidal haemorrhage, rectal haemorrhage); renal and urinary disorders (haematuria); injury, the placebo arm were allowed to cross over to receive lenalidomide before disease progression poisoning and procedural complications (contusion) and vascular disorders (ecchymosis).

## Alleraic reactions

Cases of allergic reaction/hypersensitivity reactions have been reported. A possible cross-reac tion between lenalidomide and thalidomide has been reported in the literature.

Severe skin reactions

# should not receive lenalidomide

In clinical trials in previously treated myeloma patients with lenalidomide/dexamethasone compared to controls, mainly comprising of basal cell or squamous cell skin cancers.

evere cutaneous reactions including SJS, TEN and DRESS have been reported with the use of

### Acute myeloid leukaemia Multiple myeloma

Cases of AML have been observed in clinical trials of newly diagnosed multiple myeloma in patients taking lenalidomide treatment in combination with melphalan or immediately following HDM/ASCT. This increase was not observed in clinical trials of newly diagnosed multiple myeloma in patients taking lenalidomide in combination with low dose dexamethas ne compared to thalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone

The following post-marketing adverse reactions have been reported (frequency unknown) acute henatic failure and cholestasis (both notentially fatal), toxic henatitis, cytolytic henatitis mixed cytolytic/cholestatic hepatitis.

Rare cases of rhabdomyolysis have been observed, some of them when lenalidomide is

IFM 2005-02

## administered with a statin Thyroid disorders

Cases of hypothyroidism and cases of hyperthyroidism have been reported.

## Gastrointestinal disorders

astrointestinal perforations have been reported during treatment with lenalido Gastrointestinal perforations may lead to septic complications and may be associated with fatal

The primary endpoint was PFS defined from randomisation to the date of progression or death, to that of melphalan and prednisone for a maximum of 9 cycles. Patients were randomised in a MM-010.

### Reporting of suspected adverse reactions

reporting system listed in Appendix V.

of maintenance treatment] in CALGB 100104 and 16.4% vs 0.7% in IFM 2005-02, respectively). although in dose-ranging studies some patients were exposed to up to 150 mg, and in single-dose studies, some patients were exposed to up to 400 mg. The DLT in these studies was

## PHARMACOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

enzyme complex that includes deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage-binding protein 1(DDB1).

The combination of lenalidomide with low dose dexamethasone in newly diagnosed multiple poietic, and immunomodulatory properties. Specifically, lenalidomide inhibits proliferation of placebo. The median overall survival time was 105.9 months (95% CI 88.8, NE) in the lenalidomide arm myeloma patients is associated with a lower frequency of grade 3 and 4 thrombocytopenia certain haematopoietic tumour cells (including MM plasma tumour cells and those with deletions of chromosome 5), enhances T cell- and Natural Killer (NK) cell-mediated immunity and increases the number of NKT cells, inhibits angiogenesis by blocking the migration and Lenalidomide in combination with dexamethasone in patients who are not eligible for stem cell

> cvtokines (e.g., TNF-α and IL-6) by monocytes. latory effects.

Lenalidomide efficacy and safety have been evaluated in five phase III studies in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma, two phase III studies in relapsed refractory multiple myelomaas

Newly diagnosed multiple myelomaLenalidomide maintenance in patients who have undergo-

## respectively, in lenalidomide/dexamethasone- treated patients compared to 2.3% and 0.0% in randomised, double-blind 2-arm, parallel group, placebo-controlled studies: CALGB 100104 acetylsalicylic acid during the study. and IFM 2005-02 CALGR 100104

28-day cycles (increased up to 15 mg once daily after 3 months in the absence of dose-limiting in the 3 arms.

the overall survival endpoint. In total 460 patients were randomised: 231 patients to lenalidomi-in Table 5:

de and 229 patients to placebo. The demographic and disease-related characteristics were

The results of PES at unblinding, following a preplanned interim analysis, using a cut-off of 17 December 2009 (15.5 months follow up) showed a 62% reduction in risk of disease progre or death favoring lenalidomide (HR = 0.38:95% CL0.27, 0.54: p.<0.001). The median overall PES was 33.9 months (95% CI NE, NE) in the lenalidomide arm versus 19.0 months (95% CI 16.2, 25.6) in the placebo arm.

The PFS benefit was observed both in the subgroup of patients with CR and in the subgroup of Severe cutaneous reactions including SUS, LEN and DRESS have been reported with the use of lenalidomide. Patients with a history of severe rash associated with thalidomide treatment should not receive lenalidomide. Patients who had not achieved a CR. The results for the study, using a cut-off of 1 February 2016, are presented in Table 4.

	Lenalidomide	Placebo	
	(N = 231)	(N = 229)	
Investigator-assessed PFS			
Mediana PFS time, months (95% CI)b	56.9 (41.9, 71.7)	29,4 (20.7, 35.5)	
HR [95% CI] <sup>c</sup> ; p-value <sup>d</sup>	0.61 (0.48,	0.61 (0.48, 0.76); <0.001	
PFS2 <sup>e</sup>			
Mediana PFS2 time, months (95% CI) b	80.2 (63.3, 101.8)	52.8 (41.3, 64.0)	
HR [95% CI]c; p-value <sup>d</sup>	0.61 (0.48,	<b>0.61</b> (0.48, 0.78); <0.001	
Overall survival			
Mediana OS time, months (95% CI)b	111.0 (101.8, NE)	84.2 (71.0, 102.7	
8-year survival rate, % (SE)	60.9 (3.78)	44.6 (3.98)	
HR [95% CI] <sup>c</sup> ; p-value <sup>d</sup>	0.61 (0.46,	0.61 (0.46, 0.81); < 0.001	
Follow-up			
Medianf (min, max), months: all surviving patients	81.9 (0.0, 119.8)	81.0 (4.1, 119.5)	

Patients aged < 65 years at diagnosis who had undergone ASCT and had achieved at least a stable disease response at the time of hematologic recovery were eligible. Patients were randomised 1:1 to receive either lenalidomide or placebo maintenance (10 mg once daily on days 1-28 of repeated patients who are not eligible for transplant 28- day cycles increased up to 15 mg once daily after 3 months in the absence of dose-limiting toxicity) following 2 courses of lenalidomide consolidation (25 mg/day, days 1-21 of a 28-day double blind 3 arm study (MM-015) of patients who were 65 years or older and had a serum placebo/dex group to receive treatment with the len/dex combination. cycle). Treatment was to be continued until disease progression.

whichever occurred first; the study was not powered for the overall survival endpoint. In total 614 1:1:1 ratio to one of 3 treatment arms. Patients were stratified at randomisation by age (75 vs. > In this pooled extended follow-up analysis, the median TTP was 60.1 weeks (95% CI: 44.3, 73.1) in lenalidomide. The Cmax was similar between healthy subjects and patients with renal atients were randomised: 307 patients to lenalidomide and 307 patients to placebo.

imbalance of SPMs

The results of PFS at unblinding, following a preplanned interim analysis, using a cut-off of 7 July 2010 (31.4 months follow up) showed a 48% reduction in risk of disease progression or death favoring lenalidomide (HR = 0.52; 95% CI 0.41, 0.66; p <0.001). The median overall PFS was 40.1 months (95% CI 35.7, 42.4) in the lenalidomide arm versus 22.8 months (95% CI 20.7, 27.4) in the placebo arm.

# The PFS benefit was less in the subgroup of patients with CR than in the subgroup of patients In an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for an analysis of PFS, PFS2, OS using a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for a cut-off of April 2013 where the median follow up time for a cut-off of April 2013 where the

rullin 4 (CUL4), and regulator of cullins 1 (Roc1). In the presence of lenalidomide, cereblon binds

The updated PFS, using a cut-off of 1 February 2016 (96.7 months follow up) continues to show a PFS substrate proteins Aiolos and Ikaros which are lymphoid transcriptional factors, leading to their advantage: HR = 0.57 (95% Cl 0.47, 0.68; p < 0.001). The median overall PFS was 44.4 months (39.6, 52.0) in ubiquitination and subsequent degradation resulting in cytotoxic and immunomodulatory the lenalidomide arm versus 23.8 months (95% Cl 21.2, 27.3) in the placebo arm. For PFS2, the observed HR was 0.80 (95% CI 0.66, 0.98; p = 0.026) for lenalidomide versus placebo. The median overall PFS2 was 69.9 months (95% CI 58.1, 80.0) in the lenalidomide arm versus 58.4 months (95% CI 51.1, 65.0) in the The lenalidomide mechanism of action includes anti-neoplastic, anti-angiogenic, pro-erythro-placebo arm. For OS, the observed HR was 0.90: (95% CI 0.72, 1.13; p = 0.355) for lenalidomide versus

openlabel, 3-arm study (MM-020) of patients who were at least 65 years of age or older or, if enalidomide binds directly to cereblon, a component of a cullin ring E3 ubiquitin ligase younger than 65 years of age, were not candidates for stem cell transplantation because they enzyme complex that includes deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage-binding protein 1(DDB1), declined to undergo stem cell transplantation or stem cell transplantation is not available to the cullin 4 28 (CUL4), and regulator of cullins 1 (Roc1). In the presence of lenalidomide, cereblon patient due to cost or other reason. The study (MM-020) compared lenalidomide and dexamethabinds substrate proteins Aiolos and Ikaros which are lymphoid transcriptional factors, leading sone (Rd) given for 2 different durations of time (i.e., until progressive disease [Arm Rd] or for up to to their ubiquitination and subsequent degradation resulting in cytotoxic and immunomodueighteen 28-day cycles [72 weeks, Arm Rd18]) to melphalan, prednisone and thalidomide (MPT for a maximum of twelve 42-day cycles (72 weeks). Patients were randomised (1:1:1) to 1 of 3 treatment arms. Patients were stratified at randomisation by age (≤75 versus >75 years), stage (ISS Stages I and II versus Stage III), and country.

Patients in the Rd and Rd18 arms took lenalidomide 25 mg once daily on days 1 to 21 of 28-day cycles according to protocol arm. Dexamethasone 40 mg was dosed once daily on days 1, 8, 15, and 22 of each 28-day cycle. Initial dose and regimen for Rd and Rd18 were adjusted according to age and renal function (see section 4.2). Patients >75 years received a dexamethasone dose The combination of lenalidomide with dexamethasone in multiple myeloma patients is associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and grade 4 thrombocytopenia (9.9% and 1.4%, associated with a higher incidence of grade 3 and gr

The primary efficacy endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 1623 patients were enrolled into the study, with 535 patients randomised to Rd, 541 patients with dexamethasone in patients with multiple myeloma, and to a lesser extent in patients Patients between 18 and 70 years of age with active MM requiring treatment and without prior randomised to Rd18 and 547 patients randomised to MPT. The demographics and disease-relationships are relative to the result of the relationships and the relationships are relative to the relationships and relationships are relative to the relationships and relationships are relative to the relationships and relationships are relative to the relationships are relative to the relationships and relationships are relative to the relati ted baseline characteristics of the patients were well balanced in all 3 arms. In general, study Patients were randomised 1:1 within 90-100 days after ASCT to receive either lenalidomide or subjects had advanced-stage disease: of the total study population, 41% had ISS stage III, 9% An open-label, randomised, multicenter, phase III study (ECOG E4A03) was conducted in 445 Concomitant administration of erythropoietic agents or previous history of DVT may also placebo maintenance dose was 10 mg once daily on days 1-28 of repeated had severe renal insufficiency (creatinine clearance [CLcr] < 30 mL/min). The median age was 73 patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma; 222 patients were randomised to the

Table 5. Summary of overall efficacy data

Rd Rd18 MPT

	(N = 535)	(N = 541)	(N = 547)		
estigator-assessed PFS - (months)					
fedian PFS time, months (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	26.0 (20.7, 29.7)	21.0 (19.7, 22.4)	21.9 (19.8, 23.9)		
IR [95% CI]c; p-valued					
Rd vs MPT	0.6	59 (0.59, 0.80); <0.0	001		
Rd vs Rd18	0.7	71 (0.61, 0.83); <0.0	001		
Rd18 vs MPT	0.	99 (0.86, 1.14); 0.8	66		
S2c - (months)					
fedian PFS2 time, months (95% CI)	42.9 (38.1, 47.4)	40.0 (36.2, 44.2)	35.0 (30.4, 37.8)		
IR [95% CI]c; p-valued					
Rd vs MPT	0.7	0.74 (0.63, 0.86); <0.001			
Rd vs Rd18	0.	0.92 (0.78, 1.08); 0.316			
Rd18 vs MPT	0.	0.80 (0.69, 0.93); 0.004			
erall survival (months)					
Mediana OS time, months (95% CI)b	58.9 (56.0, NE)	56.7 (50.1, NE)	48.5 (44.2, 52.0)		
IR [95% CI] <sup>c</sup> ; p-value <sup>d</sup>					
Rd vs MPT	0.75 (0.62, 0.90); 0.002				
Rd vs Rd18	0.	91 (0.75, 1.09); 0.3	05		
Rd18 vs MPT		0.83 (0.69, 0.99); 0.034			
	Rd (N = 535)	Rd18 (N = 541)	MPT (N = 547)		
ollow-up (months)					
Medianf (min, max): all patients	40.8 (0.0, 65.9)	40.1 (0.4, 65.7)	38.7 (0.0, 64.2)		
eloma response <sup>g</sup> n (%)					
R	81 (15.1)	77 (14.2)	51 (9.3)		
'GPR	152 (28.4)	154 (28.5)	103 (18.8)		
R	169 (31.6)	166 (30.7)	187 (34.2)		
verall response: CR, VGPR, or PR	402 (75.1)	397 (73.4)	341 (623)		
ration of response - (months)					
fediana (95% CI)b	35.0 (27.9, 43.4)	22.1 (20.3, 24.0)	22.3 (20.2, 24.9)		
myeloma therapy; CI = confidence interval; CR = International Myeloma Working Group: IR					

Lenalidomide in combination with melphalan and prednisone followed by maintenance therapy in

The safety and efficacy of lenalidomide was assessed in a phase III multicenter, randomised

creatinine < 2.5 mg/dL. The study compared lenalidomide in combination with melphalan and An extended follow-up efficacy analysis was conducted with a median follow-up of 130.7 weeks, 75 years) and stage (ISS: Stages I and II vs. stage III).

tics; ISS Stage III, and creatinine clearance < 60 mL/min. The median age was 71 in the MPR+R 95% CI = [0.687, 1.009], p=0.045). and MPR+p arms and 72 in the MPp+p arm

all surviving subjects was 62.4 months, the results of the study are presented in Table 6:

	MPR+R	MPR+p	MPp +p	
	(N = 152)	(N = 153)	(N = 154)	
Investigator-assessed PFS - (months)				
Mediana PFS time, months (95% CI)	27.4 (21.3, 35.0)	14.3 (13.2, 15.7)	13.1 (12.0, 14.8)	
HR [95% CI]; p-value				
	MPR+R	MPR+p	MPp +p	
	(N = 152)	(N = 153)	(N = 154)	
MPR+R vs MPp+p	0.37 (0.27, 0.50); <0.001			
MPR+R vs MPR+p	0.47 (0.35, 0.65); <0.001			
MPR+p vs MPp+p	0.	0.78 (0.60, 1.01); 0.059		
PFS2 – (months) <sup>-</sup>				
Mediana PFS2 time, months (95% CI)	39.7 (29.2, 48.4)	27.8 (23.1, 33.1)	28.8 (24.3, 33.8)	
HR [95% CI]; p-value				
MPR+R vs MPp+p	0.70 (0.54, 0.92); 0.009			
MPR+R vs MPR+p	0.77 (0.59, 1.02); 0.065			
MPR+p vs MPp+p	0.92 (0.71, 1.19); 0.051			
Overall survival (months)				
Mediana OS time, months (95% CI)	55.9 (49.1, 67.5)	51.9 (43.1, 60.6)	53.9 (47.3, 64.2)	
HR [95% CI]; p-value				
MPR+R vs MPp+p	0.95 (0.70, 1.29); 0.736			
MPR+R vs MPR+p	0.88 (0.65, 1.20); 0.43			
MPR+p vs MPp +p	1.07 (0.79, 1.45); 0.67			
Follow-up (months)				
Median (min, max): all patients	48.4 (0.8, 73.8)	46.3 (0.5, 71.9)	50.4 (0.5, 73.3)	
Investigator-assessed Myeloma response				
n (%)				
CR	30 (19.7)	17 (11.1)	9 (5.8)	
PR	90 (59.2)	99 ( 64.7)	75 (48.7)	
Stable Disease (SD)	24 (15.8)	31 (20.3)	63 (40.9)	
Response Not Evaluable (NE)	8 (5.3)	4 (2.6)	7 (4.5)	
Investigator-assessed Duration of				

= complete response: HR = Hazard Rate: M

ponse; R = lenalidomide; SD = stable disease; VGPR = very good partial respons

## Supportive newly diagnosed multiple myeloma studies

standard dose dexamethasone arm. Patients randomised to the lenalidomide/ standard dose The primary efficacy endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS) from randomisation to the date of progression or death, whichever occurred first; the study was not powered for the study was not powered cycles. Patients randomised to the lenalidomide/low dose dexamethasone arm received

Lenalidomide is present in human semen (< 0.01% of the dose) after administration of 25

lenalidomide 25 mg/day, days 1 to 21 every 28 days plus low dose dexamethasone – 40 mg/day 💢 stopping the substance. on days 1, 8, 15, and 22 every 28 days. In the lenalidomide/low dose dexamethasone group, 20 Biotransformation and elimination patients (9.1%) underwent at least one dose interruption compared to 65 patients (29.3%) in Results from human in vitro metabolism studies indicate that lenalidomide is not metabolised

In a post-hoc analysis lower mortality was observed in the lenalidomide/low dose dexamethasone products that inhibit cytochrome P450 enzymes is not likely to result in metabolic medicinal arm 6.8% (15/220) compared to the lenalidomide/standard dose dexamethasone arm 19.3% (43/223). product interactions in humans. In vitro studies indicate that lenalidomide has no inhibitory in the newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patient population, with a median follow up of 72.3 weeks. effect on CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP2E1, CYP3A, or UGT1A1. Therefore, However with a longer follow-up, the difference in overall survival in favour of lenalidomide/ lenalidomide is unlikely to cause any clinically relevant medicinal product interactions when low dose dexamethasone tends to decrease.

## Multiple myeloma with at least one prior therapy

lenalidomide plus dexamethasone therapy versus dexamethasone alone in previously treated (OATP1B1), organic cation transporters (OCT) OCT1 and OCT2, multidrug and toxin extrusion patients with multiple myeloma. Out of 353 patients in the MM-009 and MM-010 studies who protein (MATE) MATE1, and organic cation transporters novel (OCTN) OCTN1 and OCTN2. received lenalidomide/dexamethasone, 45.6% were aged 65 or over. Of the 704 patients evaluated in the MM-009 and MM-010 studies 44.6% were aged 65 or over.

In vitro studies indicate that lenalidomide has no inhibitory effect on human bile salt export pump (BSEP), BCRP, MRP2, OAT1, OAT3, OATP1B1, OATP1B3, and OCT2. evaluated in the MM-009 and MM-010 studies, 44.6% were aged 65 or over.

In both studies, patients in the lenalidomide/dexamethasone (len/dex) group took 25 mg of A majority of lenalidomide is eliminated through urinary excretion. The contribution of renal lenalidomide orally once daily on days 1 to 21 and a matching placebo capsule once daily on days excretion to total clearance in subjects with normal renal function was 90%, with 4% of 22 to 28 of each 28-day cycle. Patients in the placebo/dexamethasone (placebo/dex) group took 1 lenalidomide eliminated in faeces. placebo capsule on days 1 to 28 of each 28-day cycle. Patients in both treatment groups took 40 Lenalidomide is poorly metabolized as 82% of the dose is excreted unchanged in urine. mg of dexamethasone orally once daily on days 1 to 4, 9 to 12, and 17 to 20 of each 28-day cycle

Hydroxylenalidomide and N-acetyl-lenalidomide represent 4.59% and 1.83% of the excreted for the first 4 cycles of therapy. The dose of dexamethasone was reduced to 40 mg orally once daily dose, respectively. The renal clearance of lenalidomide exceeds the glomerular filtration rate on days 1 to 4 of each 28-day cycle after the first 4 cycles of therapy. In both studies, treatment was and therefore is at least actively secreted to some extent. to continue until disease progression. In both studies, dose adjustments were allowed based on

At doses of 5 to 25 mg/day, half-life in plasma is approximately 3 hours in healthy volunteers clinical and laboratory finding.

The primary efficacy endpoint in both studies was time to progression (TTP). In total, 353 patients

Elderly

No dedicated clinical studies have been conducted to evaluate pharmacokinetics of were evaluated in the MM-009 study: 177 in the len/dex group and 176 in the placebo/dex group in the placebo/dex group.

ble between both groups, as was the number and type of prior therapies.

In both studies, the baseline demographic and disease-related characteristics were comparable between the len/dex and placebo/dex groups. Both patient populations presented a median age Renal impairment of 63 years, with a comparable male to female ratio. The ECOG performance status was compara-

follow-up duration of 98.0 weeks). Complete response and overall response rates in the len/dex

orednisone (MPR) with or without lenalidomide maintenance therapy until disease progression, Table 7 summarises the results of the follow-up efficacy analyses – pooled studies MM-009 and with creatinine clearance > 50 mL/min to more than 9 hours in subjects with reduced renal

patients treated with len/dex (N = 353) versus 20.1 weeks (95% CI: 17.7, 20.3) in patients treated impairment. Approximately 30% of the medicinal product in the body was removed during a This study investigated the use of combination therapy of MPR (melphalan 0.18 mg/kg orally on with placebo/dex (N = 351). The median progression free survival was 48.1 weeks (95% Cl: 364, single 4- hour dialysis session. Reporting suspected adverse reactions after authorisation of the medicinal product is

The study was unblinded upon the recommendations of the data monitoring committee after days 1 to 4 of repeated with len/dex versus 20.0 weeks (95% CI: 16.1, 20.1) in patients treated with The study was untillined agreement and stream the definition of th receiving placebo were not crossed over to lenalidomide therapy prior to progressive disease.

The lealthcare professionals are asked to report any suspected adverse reactions via the national receiving placebo were not crossed over to lenalidomide therapy prior to progressive disease.

The leadthcare professionals are asked to report any suspected adverse reactions via the national receiving placebo were not crossed over to lenalidomide therapy prior to progressive disease.

The leadthcare professionals are asked to report any suspected adverse reactions via the national receiving placebo were not crossed over to lenalidomide therapy prior to progressive disease.

The leadthcare professionals are asked to report any suspected adverse reactions via the national receiving placebo were not crossed over to lenalidomide therapy prior to progressive disease.

The leadthcare professionals are asked to report any suspected adverse reactions via the national receiving placebo were not crossed over to lenalidomide therapy prior to progressive disease.

The leadthcare professionals are asked to report any suspected adverse reactions via the national receiving placebo were not crossed over to lenalidomide therapy prior to progressive disease.

The leadthcare professional states are asked to report any suspected adverse reactions via the national receiving placebo were not crossed over to lenalidomide therapy prior to progressive disease. The lenalidomide arm was discontinued, as a proactive safety measure, after observing an complete 9 cycles due to intolerance proceeded to maintenance therapy starting with (PR) and overall response (CR+PR) rates in the len/dex arm remain significantly higher than in the lenalidomide 10 mg orally on days 1 to 21 of repeated 28-day cycles until disease progression. placebo/dex arm in both studies. The median overall survival in the extended follow-up analysis of patients with moderate to severe hepatic impairment. The primary efficacy endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 459 the pooled studies is 164.3 weeks (95% Cl: 145.1, 192.6) in patients treated with len/dex versus of the primary efficacy endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 459 the pooled studies is 164.3 weeks (95% Cl: 145.1, 192.6) in patients treated with len/dex versus of the primary efficacy endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 459 the pooled studies is 164.3 weeks (95% Cl: 145.1, 192.6) in patients treated with len/dex versus of the primary efficacy endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 459 the pooled studies is 164.3 weeks (95% Cl: 145.1, 192.6) in patients treated with len/dex versus of the primary efficacy endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 459 the pooled studies is 164.3 weeks (95% Cl: 145.1, 192.6) in patients treated with len/dex versus of the primary efficiency endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 459 the pooled studies is 164.3 weeks (95% Cl: 145.1, 192.6) in patients treated with len/dex versus of the primary efficiency endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 459 the pooled studies is 164.3 weeks (95% Cl: 145.1, 192.6) in patients treated with len/dex versus of the primary efficiency endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 459 the primary efficiency endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 459 the primary efficiency endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 459 the primary efficiency endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 459 the primary efficiency endpoint in the study was progression free survival (PFS). In total 459 the primary efficiency endpoint endp patients were enrolled into the study, with 152 patients randomised to MPR+R, 153 patients randomised to MPR+B, 154 patients randomised to MPR+B, 154 patients randomised to MPR+D. The demographics and out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to placebo/dex received lenalidomide after disease out of the 351 patients randomised to p disease-related baseline characteristics of the patients were well balanced in all 3 arms, progression or after the studies were unblinded, the pooled analysis of overall survival demonstranotably, approximately 50% of the patients enrolled in each arm had the following characteristed a statistically significant survival advantage for len/dex relative to placebo/dex (HR = 0.833,

Endpoint	len/dex (N=353)	placebo/dex(N=351)	
Time to event			HR [95% CI], p-value a
Time to progression	60.1 [44.3,	20.1 [17.7, 20.3]	0.350 [0.287, 0.426], p < 0.001
Median [95% CI], weeks	73.1]		
Progression free survival	48.1	20.0 [16.1, 20.1]	0.393 [0.326, 0.473], p < 0.001
Median [95% CI], weeks	[36.4, 62.1]		
Overall survival	164.3	136.4 [113.1, 161.7]	0.833 [0.687, 1.009], p = 0.045
Median [95% CI], weeks	[145.1,	75%	
1-year Overall survival rate	192.6]		
	82%		
Response rate			Odds ratio [95% CI], p-value
Overall response [n, %]	212 (60.1)	75 (21.4)	5.53 [3.97, 7.71], p < 0.001
Complete response [n, %]	58 (16.4)	11 (3.1)	6.08 [3.13, 11.80], p < 0.001

# Paediatric population

The European Medicines Agency has waived the obligation to submit the results of studies with lenalidomide in all subsets of the paediatric population in multiple myeloma. Pharmacokinetic properties

## Lenalidomide has an asymmetric carbon atom and can therefore exist as the optically active forms S(-) and R(+). Lenalidomide is produced as a racemic mixture. Lenalidomide is generally more soluble in organic solvents but exhibits the greatest solubility in 0.1N HCl buffer.

Lenalidomide is rapidly absorbed following oral administration in healthy volunteers, under fasting conditions, with maximum plasma concentrations occurring between 0.5 and 2 hours post-dose. In patients, as well as in healthy volunteers, the maximum concentration (Cmax) and area-under- the concentration time curve (AUC) increase proportionally with increases in dose. Multiple dosing does not cause marked medicinal product accumulation. In plasma, the relative exposures of the S- and Renantiomers of lenalidomide are approximately 56% and 44%,

Co-administration with a high-fat and high-calorie meal in healthy volunteers reduces the extent of absorption, resulting in an approximately 20% decrease in area under the concentration versus time curve (AUC) and 50% decrease in Cmax in plasma. However, in the main multiple myeloma registration trials where the efficacy and safety were established for MEDICAMENT AUTHORIZED BY THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH CERTIFICATE No 57.900 lenalidomide, the medicinal product was administered without regard to food intake. Thus, lenalidomide can be administered with or without food.

Distribution mg/day and the medicinal product is undetectable in semen of a healthy subject 3 days after

by cytochrome P450 enzymes suggesting that administration of lenalidomide with medicinal

co-administered with substrates of these enzymes. In vitro studies indicate that lenalidomide is not a substrate of human breast cancer resistance The efficacy and safety of lenalidomide were evaluated in two phase III multi-centre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group controlled studies (MM-009 and MM-010) of anion transporters (OAT) OAT1 and OAT3, organic anion transporting polypeptide 1B1

and ranges from 3 to 5 hours in patients with multiple myeloma.

lenalidomide in the elderly. Population pharmacokinetic analyses included patients with ages and, in total, 351 patients were evaluated in the MM-010 study; 176 in the len/dex group and 175 ranging from 39 to 85 years old and indicate that age does not influence lenalidomide clearance (exposure in plasma). Because elderly patients are more likely to have decreased renal function care should be taken in dose selection and it would be prudent to monitor renal function.

The pharmacokinetics of lenalidomide was studied in subjects with renal impairment due to nonmalignant conditions. In this study, two methods were used to classify renal function: the Pre-planned interim analyses of both studies showed that len/dex was statistically significantly urinary creatinine clearance measured over 24 hours and the creatinine clearance estimated by superior (p < 0.00001) to dexamethasone alone for the primary efficacy endpoint, TTP (median Cockcroft- Gault formula. The results indicate that as renal function decreases (< 50 mL/min), the total lenalidomide clearance decreases proportionally resulting in an increase in AUC. The arm were also significantly higher than the placebo/dex arm in both studies. Results of these AUC was increased by approximately 2.5, 4 and 5-fold in subjects with moderate renal analyses subsequently led to an unblinding in both studies, in order to allow patients in the impairment, severe renal impairment, and end-stage renal disease, respectively, compared to the group combining subjects with normal renal function and subjects with mild renal impairment. The half-life of lenalidomide increased from approximately 3.5 hours in subjects function < 50 mL/min. However, renal impairment did not alter the oral absorption of

Hepatic impairment

An embryofoetal development study has been conducted in monkeys administered lenalidomide at doses from 0.5 and up to 4 mg/kg/day. Findings from this study indicate that lenalidomide produced external malformations including non-patent anus and malformations of upper and lower extremities (bent, shortened, malformed, malrotated and/or absent part of the extremities, oligo and/or polydactyly) in the offspring of female monkeys who received the active substance during pregnancy.

Various visceral effects (discoloration, red foci at different organs, small colourless mass above atrioventricular valve, small gall bladder, malformed diaphragm) were also observed in single

Lenalidomide has a potential for acute toxicity: minimum lethal doses after oral administration were > 2000 mg/kg/day in rodents. Repeated oral administration of 75, 150 and 300 mg/kg/day to rats for up to 26 weeks produced a reversible treatment-related increase in kidney pelvis mineralisation in all 3 doses, most notably in females. The no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) was considered to be less than 75 mg/kg/day, and is approximately 25-fold greater than the human daily exposure based on AUC exposure. Repeated oral administration of 4 and 6 mg/kg/day to monkeys for up to 20 weeks produced mortality and significant toxicity (marked weight loss, reduced red and white blood cell and platelet counts, multiple organ haemorrhage. gastrointestinal tract inflammation, lymphoid, and bone marrow atrophy). Repeated oral administration of 1 and 2 mg/kg/day to monkeys for up to 1 year produced reversible changes in bone marrow cellularity, a slight decrease in myeloid/erythroid cell ratio and thymic atrophy. Mild suppression of white blood cell count was observed at 1 mg/kg/day corresponding to approximately the same human dose based on AUC comparisons.

In vitro (bacterial mutation, human lymphocytes, mouse lymphoma, Syrian Hamster Embryo cell transformation) and in vivo (rat micronucleus) mutagenicity studies revealed no drug related effects at either the gene or chromosomal level. Carcinogenicity studies with lenalidomide have not been conducted.

Developmental toxicity studies were previously conducted in rabbits. In these studies, rabbits were administered 3, 10 and 20 mg/kg/day orally. An absence of the intermediate lobe of the lung was observed at 10 and 20 mg/kg/day with dose dependence and displaced kidneys were observed at 20 mg/kg/day. Although it was observed at maternotoxic levels they may be attributable to a direct effect. Soft tissue and skeletal variations in the foetuses were also observed at 10 and 20 mg/kg/day.

> "This medicine must be used exclusively under medical supervision and can not be repeated without any new medical prescription.

## KEEP OUT OF REACH OF CHILDREN

Laboratorio Kemex S.A.-Nazarre 3446- C.A.B.A (C1417DXH) Technical Director: Dr. Natalia Alonso – Pharmacist Made in Argentina

